When monitoring is not enough:

Predicting post-wildfire trajectories from MODIS time series



Steven P. Norman¹ William W. Hargrove¹ Danny C. Lee¹ Joseph P. Spruce² William M. Christie¹



- ^{1.} USDA Forest Service Southern Research Station, Asheville NC Eastern Forest Environmental Threat Assessment Center
- ^{2.} NASA-Stennis, Stennis Space Center MS

Aug. 22, 2013 ORNL, Oak Ridge TN

Outline PART I—The the Wester

PART I—The wildland fire and management crisis of the Western US

PART II—Theoretical challenges that can impede management success

PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring

PART IV—Predicting long-term wildfire effects

Fire Regime Group

IV

V

- Frequent, low severity Frequent, high severity
- Moderately frequent, low severity
- Moderately frequent, high severity
- Infrequent
 - Indeterminate

Historical Fire Regimes

Historical Fire Regimes of Existing Wildland Vegetation

Fire Regime Group

Frequent, low severity

V

- Frequent, high severity
- Moderately frequent, low severity
- Moderately frequent, high severity IV
 - Infrequent
 - Indeterminate



Does our wildland fire problem result from our past management decisions?









Little Bear Fire, Lincoln National Forest NM, 2012

Trinity Ridge Fire, Boise National Forest ID, 2012



Waldo Canyon Fire and Colorado Springs CO, 2012

Wallow Fire AZ, 2011

<u>Outline</u>

PART I—The wildland fire and management crisis of the Western US

PART II—Theoretical challenges that can impede management success

PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring

PART IV—Predicting long-term wildfire effects

PART II—Theoretical challenges that can impede forest management success



STABILITY



PART II—Theoretical challenges that can impede forest management success

A typical cyclical disturbance-succession model (at the stand-scale)



CYCLICITY at the stand scale could translate to **STABILITY** at the landscape scale

ποταμῶι γαρ ουτ έστιν εμβῆναι δις τῶι αυτῶι. σκίδνησι και πάλιν συνάγει....και πρόσεισι και άπεισι

"after all, one does not step into the same river twice. waters disperse and come together again ... they keep flowing on and flowing away"

> *Heraclitus* c. 535 – c. 475 BCE



PART II—Theoretical challenges that can impede forest management success

DRIVERS of STABILITY in the fire environment

- Evolutionary-scale species responses to fire
- Evolutionary-scale competitive relationships among species
- Fuel accumulation and succession
- Consistency of climate (fire seasonality, microclimate)
- Topography
- Consistent management regimes

PART II—Theoretical challenges that can impede forest management success

DRIVERS of STABILITY in the fire environment

- Evolutionary-scale species responses to fire
- Evolutionary-scale competitive relationships among species
- Fuel accumulation and succession
- Consistency of climate (fire seasonality, microclimate)
- Topography
- Consistent management regimes

DRIVERS of FLUX in the fire environment

- Inconstant human influence:
 - changing ignition rates or patterns
 - fire management (e.g., fire suppression)
 - land management (e.g., grazing, logging)
- Introductions of non-native species
- Climate change
- Complex disturbance interactions



"Only I can prevent forest fires? What's fire?





Complex disturbance interactions (drainage, logging, hurricanes, repeated fires) in the Okefenokee NWR, Georgia Complex disturbance interactions (drainage, logging, hurricanes, repeated fires) in the Great Dismal Swamp NWR, Virginia

Complex disturbance interactions (beetle kill, drought, wildfire) in the interior West

Complex disturbance interactions (blowdown, wildfires) in the 2012 Wenatchee Fire, Washington

PART II—Theoretical challenges that can impede forest management success



STABILITY





<u>Outline</u>

PART I—The wildland fire and management crisis of the Western US

PART II—Theoretical challenges that can impede management success

PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring

PART IV—Predicting long-term wildfire effects



Normalized Difference Vegetation Index

- 46 periods per year (8-day intervals)
- 232 meter resolution
- Includes NDVI time series and change maps



PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring Available approaches and datasets



PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring Phenological signatures of **deciduous forest** dominated pixels



PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring Phenological signatures of **conifer forest** dominated pixels



PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring Phenological signatures of grass dominated pixels



Date/Time 1/1/00 1/1/01

1/1/00 1/1/01 1/1/02 1/1/03 1/1/04 1/1/05

1/1/06 1/1/07 1/1/08

PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring Potential measures of fire effects and desired vegetation

Maximum NDVI Minimum NDVI Mean NDVI Median NDVI

Percentiles of the annual distribution Amplitude of NDVI (of extremes) NDVI Difference (between thresholds) Duration above some threshold Area under the growing season curve Key Measures for Vegetation Change Associated With Wildland Fire:

(1) LIVING BIOMASS

- (median NDVI)
- (2) CONIFER (EVERGREEN) FRACTION

(~25th %ile of annual distribution)

(3) GRASS FRACTION

(peakedness of upper distribution)



PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring The max., median and min. NDVI for Willows CA non-native grasslands

(The landscape mean of 9,345 MODIS pixels)



Note the inter-annual volatility of this measure typically caused by climate variation

PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring *Phenological peakedness* as the difference between the **max** and **80**th percentile of the calendar year distribution



PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring *Phenological peakedness* as the difference between the **max** and **85th** percentile of the **2002** fiscal year distribution



PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring National Land Cover Dataset (NLCD 2006): grassland/herbaceous, pasture/hay, cultivated crops





<u>Outline</u>

PART I—The wildland fire and management crisis of the Western US

PART II—Theoretical challenges that can impede management success

PART III—Efficient coarse-filter landscape monitoring

PART IV—Predicting long-term wildfire effects



ForWarn Aug. 12, 2013 NDVI Change from All-Year Max Baseline



ForWarn

California

•• Oregon

% Change in NDVI - 61% to -99% - 30 % - 20 % - 15 % - 12.5 % - 10 % - 5 % -3% - 1.5 % 0% + 25 % + 100 % Snow

3

Nevada

30

on3

5

PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects



2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

U.S. Forest Change Assessment Viewer



Baseline: Max of all years

40 mi

50 km

PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects

Mean observed MODIS NDVI for the 2002 BISCUIT FIRE and adjacent lands



In the red, 37,288 pixels are averaged (y) for each of 552 periods (x). This is 20,582,976 values.

PART IV—Predicting ^o long-term fire effects ^o

Change over time across percentiles of the annual NDVI distribution for the **BISCUIT FIRE**



Percentile

PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects Percent change in the Evergreen Fraction for the 2002 BISCUIT FIRE

<-70

> 0

-69.9 - -60 -59.9 - -50 -49.9 - -40 -39.9 - -30 -29.9 - -20 -19.9 - -10 -09.9 - 0





PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects

Predicted years to NDVI recovery for the **BISCUIT FIRE** based on change in the 50th percentile of calendar year distributions (annual medians), 2004-2011

Predicted Recovery





U.S. Forest Change Assessment Viewer



PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects

Mean observed MODIS NDVI for the RODEO-CHEDISKI FIRE and adjacent lands



Note: For only this one of the three fires, adjacent unburned values were not simply from the grid box surrounding the fire, but only those cells with mean 2000-01 values GTE 0.50 because areas within the box of this fire include low elevation grasslands, not mountain forests. Without this, the mean of the adjacent is close to 0.45 not 0.55 as it is with this selective adjustment.

PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects

Change over time across percentiles of the annual NDVI distribution for the **RODEO-CHEDISKI FIRE**



PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects Percent change in the Evergreen Fraction for the 2002 **RODEO-CHEDISKI FIRE**



PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects

Predicted years to NDVI recovery for the **RODEO-CHEDISKI FIRE** based on change in the 50th percentile of calendar year distributions (annual medians), 2004-2011



U.S. Forest Change Assessment Viewer



PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects Percent change in the Evergreen Fraction for the 2002 HAYMAN FIRE



PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects Mean MODIS NDVI for the 2002 **HAYMAN FIRE** and adjacent lands



PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects

Change over time across percentiles of the annual NDVI distribution for the **HAYMAN FIRE**, Colorado.



PART IV—Predicting long-term fire effects

Predicted years to NDVI recovery for the **HAYMAN FIRE** based on change in the 50th percentile of calendar year distributions (annual medians), 2004-2011









Summary

- (1) High frequency, moderate resolution MODIS NDVI provides insights into *short* and *long-term* fire effects.
- Recovery to pre-fire <u>or</u> progress toward <u>desired conditions</u> can be <u>predicted</u>.
- (3) This approach also provides a uniform coarse filter mechanism for *ecological process* monitoring.
- (4) This functions for many other disturbances, and therefore for coarse aspects of disturbance interactions including cumulative effects from causes, both indigenous and novel.

http://forwarn.forestthreats.org