Interpreting drought and disturbance impacts to natural systems from remotely observed change: Uses and challenges of "big data"





Steve Norman William Hargrove Eastern Forest Environmental Threat Assessment Center **Guest Lecture** for John Derek Morgan , UNC Asheville Seminar in Climate Change and Society, CCS 560.001: Tools for Climate Change Information and Decision Making

March 17, 2015

Acknowledgements



- USDA Forest Service Eastern Forest Environmental Threat Assessment Center (EFETAC)

 (W Christie, D Lee, others)
- USDA Forest Service Western Wildland Environmental Threat Assessment Center (WWETAC) (N Grulke, others)
- NASA Stennis Space Center (J Spruce, J Gasser, others)
- USDE Oak Ridge National Laboratory (F Hoffman, J Kumar)
- University of North Carolina, Asheville's National Environmental Modeling and
- Analysis Center (NEMAC)—(D Morgan, M Hutchins, others)
- USGS Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS) Center
- USDA Forest Service Remote Sensing Applications Center (RSAC)
- USDA Forest Service Forest Health Technology Enterprise Team (FHTET)
- US NOAA National Climatic Data Center (NCDC)



- <u>Challenge 1</u>: Systematic monitoring the condition of US forest landscapes is a herculean goal—the "coarse filter" solution
- <u>Challenge 2</u>: Raw "big data" can be too unwieldy for interpreting and understanding phenomenon—the classification solution
- <u>Challenge 3</u>: "Big data" needs to be made available on the terms of those who seek to solve specific problems accessibility solutions

<u>Challenge 1</u>: Systematic monitoring the condition of US forest landscapes is a herculean goal



<u>THE COARSE FILTER</u>: Fundamental observations, truths or concerns that apply to regional decisions or subject matter generalities.

THE MEDIUM FILTER: More focus for moderate-scale problems or issues such as landscape prioritization or characterization of risk.

THE FINE FILTER: The details or specifics that are needed for addressing local problems within the *context* of medium and coarse resolution.

The precisionist's fallacy:

The belief that fine resolution or detailed data is always better for addressing a problem than is coarse or generalized information.



The case for less precision

- Accuracy is not precision, and often only coarse, accurate knowledge is needed to make a robust decision.
- Precise, but inaccurate information can be dangerous, as it shifts expectations of data quality.



The case for less precision

- Accuracy is not precision, and often only coarse, accurate knowledge is needed to make a robust decision.
- Precise, but inaccurate information can be dangerous, as it shifts expectations of data quality.
- Precise information is often simply not available, while coarse data is.



The case for less precision

- Accuracy is not precision, and often only coarse, accurate knowledge is needed to make a robust decision.
- Precise, but inaccurate information can be dangerous, as it shifts expectations of data quality.
- Precise information is often simply not available, while coarse data is.
- With precision comes bigger data management issues and processing. Tradeoffs can include extent/ coverage, frequency or uniformity.

Different satellite spatial and temporal resolutions affect data management requirements for the conterminous US



Precision needs to be aligned to the task at hand or the decision to be made. Ask, would my decision really be different with more information?

Coarse-filter climate trends suggest coarse impacts

Mean Apr. to Sep. Palmer Modified Drought Index 1895-2013, by NCDC Climate Division



<u>Source</u>: Norman, Koch and Hargrove 2015

Existing coarse and fine-filter approaches to forest monitoring in the US





- Online at http://forwarn.forestthreats.org
- Measure is the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) from MODIS
- 232 meter resolution (5.4 ha/13 ac)
- 8-day frequency (46 periods/year from 2000)
- Since 2010, 276 near-real-time change maps /year using 6 seasonally-adjusted baselines
- Derived and long-term monitoring products







Seasonal change in NDVI reflects vegetational phenology



Baseline phenology compared to variation in Spring and Fall



Baseline phenology compared to disturbance effects



Capturing year-to-year variation in NDVI



Mean of 38,318 MODIS cells





Asheville Scarlet Oak





04-22

04-26







ForWarn's Median Start of Greenup Date for Natural Vegetation, 2000-2013



Eastern Forest Environmental Threat Assessment Center, March 2015



Challenges

- <u>Challenge 1</u>: Systematic monitoring the condition of US forest landscapes is a herculean goal—the "coarse filter" solution
- <u>Challenge 2</u>: Raw "big data" can be too unwieldy for interpreting and understanding phenomenon— the classification solution
- <u>Challenge 3</u>: "Big data" needs to be made available on the terms of those who seek to solve specific problems— accessibility solutions

Land Surface Phenology-based vegetation types Hardwood forest-dominated pixels



Land Surface Phenology-based vegetation types Evergreen conifer forest-dominated pixels



Land Surface Phenology-based vegetation types Grass-dominated pixels



Land Surface Phenology-based vegetation types 50 Clusters

2000-2012

Max Mode

Note the variety of evergreen, deciduous and non-vegetative forms





Land Surface Phenology-based vegetation types



Land Surface Phenology-based vegetation types 200 "Max-Under" phenoregions (random colors)



Land Surface Phenology-based wetland types



Challenges

- <u>Challenge 1</u>: Systematic monitoring the condition of US forest landscapes is a herculean goal—the "coarse filter" solution
- <u>Challenge 2</u>: Raw "big data" can be too unwieldy for interpreting and understanding phenomenon— the classification solution
- <u>Challenge 3</u>: "Big data" needs to be made available on the terms of those who seek to solve specific problems accessibility solutions



Lat: 38.40194 Lon: -81.81

Near-real-time disturbance mapping Jul. 19, 2010; 1 Year Standard baseline

AR

LA

MS

Yazoo City •



Near-real-time disturbance and recovery mapping Jul. 19, 2011; 1 Year Standard baseline

Yazoo City •

AR

MS



Near-real-time disturbance mapping Chattahoochee National Forest tornado, Apr. 27, 2011

Lake Burton

Near-real-time Chattahoochee NF tornado severity







NC







Monitoring disturbances linked to gradual change: The 2007 "Big Freeze"

% Change in NDVI

- 30 %

- 20 % - 15 % - 12.5 % - 10 % - 5 % - 3 % - 1.5 % 0 % + 25 % + 100 % Snow

- 61% to -99%



ForWarn: 1 year ending 4/30/07

Near-real-time late season Fall Webworm defoliation Allegheny National Forest



Monitoring Land Cover Change

Mountaintop development near Grandfather Mtn., NC



Monitoring NDVI recovery after logging

Greenville County SC



Monitoring fire regime responses and NDVI recovery Linville Gorge, NC



Response to fire regime change, Okefenokee wetlands

Gradual erosion of resilience?



Texas



Texas



Texas



Texas



Two nearby woodland *ForWarn* pixels in west Texas on similar sites, one that burned and one that did not during 2011. Note that effects persisted through 2012 on both sites, but that the cumulative effects of drought and wildfire were more pronounced than drought alone.

0.0

ForWarn's high precision from high frequency observations



2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013

Synthesis products Tracking <u>Evergreen Decline</u> of Landscapes, 2000-2010



Tracking <u>Deciduous Increase</u> of Landscapes, 2000-2010



Tracking Deciduous Decline of Landscapes, 2000-2010



Tracking Evergreen Increase of Landscapes, 2000-2010



Monitoring gradual loss of hemlock in the southern Appalachians



Trends in seasonal NDVI across Great Smoky Mountains National Park



Summary

- Great insights into forest disturbance and recovery can be had using coarse resolution, high frequency satellite data.
- Statistically robust classification or typing provides ways to make big data more manageable.
- Big data can be integrated and successfully exploited online in near-real-time for decision making.

